# Is Faulty Workmanship an "Occurrence"?

One of the hottest topics in the insurance industry today is whether faulty workmanship constitutes an "occurrence" under a CGL policy. In other words, can damage to a contractor's own work (including that of a subcontractor) trigger the CGL insuring agreement? Below is a chart listing known state and federal court decisions to date. To demonstrate how unclear this is, note that some states have decisions on both sides of an issue at the same jurisdictional level. In the "Yes/No" column below, if a court has determined that faulty workmanship IS an occurrence where coverage (or lack thereof) is governed by policy exclusion, the column will have a "Yes." If a court refused to recognize that coverage has been triggered, so the exclusions (and any exceptions to an exclusion) are a moot point, the column will have a "No." For additional information, see the following articles on our Virtual University:

## "The CGL 'No Occurrence' Claim Denial"

http://www.independentagent.com/Education/VU/Insurance/Commercial-Lines/CGL/Coverages/WilsonNoOccurrence.aspx

#### "The CGL 'No Occurrence' Claim Denial Revisited"

http://www.independentagent.com/Education/VU/Insurance/Commercial-Lines/CGL/Coverages/WilsonNoOccurrence02.aspx

## Last Updated: January 1, 2017

From Randy Maniloff's "Coverages Opinions" newsletter, May 8, 2013:

"Everyone knows what an accident is until the word comes up in court. Then it becomes a mysterious phenomenon, and, in order to resolve the enigma, witnesses are summoned, experts testify, lawyers argue, treatises are consulted and even when a conclave of twelve world-knowledgeable individuals agree as to whether a certain set of facts made out an accident, the question may not yet be settled and it must be reheard in an appellate court." - *Brenneman v. St. Paul F. & M. Ins. Co.* (Pa. Sup. Ct. 1963)

# **State Courts**

| State | Federal<br>Circuit | Occurrence?      | Court Case Citations   | Commentary   |
|-------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| AL    | 11th               | Yes/Maybe<br>Yes | Owners Ins. Co. v. Jim Carr Homebuilder, LLC (Sup. Ct. 2013)<br>United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Bonitz Insulation Co. Of<br>Alabama (Sup. Ct. 1982) | The policy in <i>Owners</i> did not include the Exclusion L. sub exception, which might be a factor in future decisions. |
|       |                    | No               | Shane Traylor Cabinetmaker, LLC v. American Resources Ins. Co.<br>(Sup. Ct. 2013)  | <i>Reliance</i> ruled that breach of contract is not an  |

|    |      |           | Town & Country Prop., LLC v. Amerisure Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2011)<br>Reliance Ins. Co. v. Gary C. Wyatt, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 1988)   | occurrence.  |
|----|------|-----------|---|--|
| AK | 9th  | Yes       | Fejes v. Alaska Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1999)  |  |
| AZ | 9th  | Yes<br>No | Lennar Corp. v. Auto Owners Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2007) – review denied<br>by Sup. Ct.<br>United States Fidelity & Guaranty Corp. v. Advance Roofing & Supply<br>Co., Inc. (App. Ct. 1989)   | Courts have ruled that if<br>damage is limited to the work<br>itself, it's not an "occurrence  |
| AR | 8th  | No        | Essex Ins. Co. v. Holder (Sup. Ct. 2008)  | July 27, 2011 Statute: A.C.A.<br>§ <u>23-79-155</u> requires all CGL<br>policies to incorporate a<br>definition of "occurrence" that<br>includes BI or PD resulting<br>from faulty workmanship but<br>allows for exclusions to<br>restrict or remove coverage. |
| СА | 9th  | No        | Maryland Casualty Co. v. Reeder (App. Ct. 1990)   |  |
| со | 10th | No<br>Yes | General Security Indemnity Co. v. Mountain States Mutual Cas. Co., et<br>al. (App. Ct. 2009)<br>Union Ins. Co. v. Hottenstein (App. Ct. 2003)<br>Hoang v. Monterra Homes (App. Ct. 2005)<br>Colard v. American Family Mutual Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 1985) | May 21, 2010 Statute: § <u>13-</u><br><u>20-808</u> requires courts<br>interpreting unintentional<br>faulty workmanship cases to<br>presume that the work is<br>accidental.  |
| ст | 2nd  | Yes<br>No | Capstone Building Corp. v. American Motorists Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2013)<br>Time Fiber Communications v. Travelers Indemnity Co. (Sup. Ct. 2005)  | <i>Capstone</i> ruled that faulty workmanship, in and of itself, is not an "occurrence."   |

| DC | DC   | No  | Western Exterior Co. v. Hartford A&I Co. (App. Ct. 1984)  | Includes U.S. Tax Court and<br>appeals from many<br>administrative agencies of<br>the federal government.  |
|----|------|-----|---|--|
| DE | 3rd  | No  | Westfield Ins. Co. v. Miranda & Hardt Contracting & Building Services<br>LLC (App. Ct. 2015)<br>Brosnahan Builders, Inc. v. Harleysville Mutual Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2001)  |  |
| FL | 11th | Yes | United States Fire Ins. Co., et al. v. J.S.U.B., Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2007)<br>Auto-Owners Ins. Co. v. Pozzi Window Company, et al. (Sup. Ct.<br>2007)<br>Joe Banks Drywall & Acoustics, Inc. v. Transcontinental Ins. Co. (App.<br>Ct. 2000)   |  |
| GA | 11th | Yes | <ul> <li>Taylor Morrison Services, Inc. v. HDI-Gerling America Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2013)</li> <li>American Empire Surplus Lines Ins. Co. v. Hathaway Dev. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2011)</li> <li>Sawhorse, Inc. v. Southern Guar. Ins. Co. of Ga. (App. Ct. 2004)</li> <li>Custom Planning &amp; Dev., Inc. v. National Fire Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2004)</li> </ul> |  |
| н  | 9th  | No  | Group Builders, Inc. v. Admiral Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2010)  | June 3, 2011 Statute: HRS §<br><u>431:1-217</u> was passed in<br>response to the <i>Group</i><br><i>Builders</i> decision but is<br>generally considered to do<br>little, if anything. |
| ID | 9th  | Yes | Western Heritage Ins. Co. v. Green (Sup. Ct. 2002)  |  |

| IL | 7th  | Yes<br>No | County Mutual Ins. Co. v. Carr (App. Ct. 2007)<br>State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. Tillerson (App. Ct. 2001)   | <i>County Mutual</i> cites <i>USF&amp;G</i><br><i>v.Wilkin Insulation Co.</i> (Sup.<br>Ct. 1991) |
|----|------|-----------|---|--|
| IN | 7th  | Yes       | Sheehan Construction Co. v. Continental Casualty Co. (Sup. Ct. 2010)<br>Supersedes opposing viewpoints in:<br>Amerisure v. Wurster Construction Co. (App. Ct. 2004) and<br>R.N. Thompson & Associates, Inc., v. Monroe Guaranty Ins. Co. (App.<br>Ct. 1997) |  |
| IA | 8th  | No        | Pursell Construction v. Hawkeye-Security (Sup. Ct. 1999)<br>Alan Yegge v. Integrity Mutual Ins. (Sup. Ct. 1995)   |  |
| ĸs | 10th | Yes       | Lee Builders, Inc. v. Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2006)<br>Fidelity & Deposit Co. of Maryland v. Hartford casualty Ins. Co. (Sup.<br>Ct. 2002)  |  |
| KY | 6th  | No        | Cincinnati Ins. Co. v. Motorists Mutual Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2010)  |  |
| LA | 5th  | Yes       | <i>Broadmoor Anderson v. National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Louisiana</i> (App. Ct. 2005) – review denied by Sup. Ct. 2006   |  |
| ME | 1st  | No        | Peerless Ins. Co. v. Robert C. Brennon (Sup. Ct. 1989)  |  |
| MD | 4th  | No        | Lerner Corp. v. Assurance Co. of America (App. Ct. 1998)  |  |
| MA | 1st  | No        | <i>Mello Constr. Co. v. Acadia Ins. Co.</i> (App. Ct. 2007)<br><i>Lusalon, Inc. v. The Hartford Accident and Indemnity Co.</i> (Sup. Ct.<br>1987)   |  |

|    |     |           | Bond Bros., Inc. v. Odell Robinson (Sup. Ct 1984)  |   |
|----|-----|-----------|--|---|
| МІ | 6th | No<br>Yes | Groom v. Home-Owners Insurance Co. (App. Ct. 2007)<br>Hawkeye-Security Ins. Co. v. Vector Constr. Co. (App. Ct. 1990)<br>Radenbaugh v. Farm Bureau General Ins. Co. of Michigan (App. Ct.<br>2000)   |   |
| MN | 8th | Yes       | Wanzek Construction v. Employers Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2004)<br>O'Shaughnessy v. Smuckler Corp. (App. Ct. 1996)   |   |
| MS | 5th | Yes       | Architex v. Scottsdale Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2010)  |   |
| МО | 8th | Yes       | Drury Co. v. Mo. United Sch. Ins. Counsel (App. Ct. 2014)<br>Amerisure Mut. Ins. Co. v. Paric Corp. (App. Ct. 2005)<br>American States Ins. Co. v. Herman C. Kempker Constr. Co., Inc.<br>(App. Ct. 2002)<br>St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Bldg. Constr. Ent. (App. Ct. 2007) |   |
| мт | 9th | Yes       | Revelation Industries v. St. Paul Fire & Marine (Sup. Ct. 2009)<br>Portal Pipeline Co. v. Stonewall Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1993)   |   |
| NE | 8th | No        | Cizek Homes, Inc. v. Columbia National Insurance Co. (App. Ct. 2014)<br>Auto-Owners v. Home Pride Companies, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2004)  | Only damage to third party property can be an "occurrence." |
| NV | 9th | Yes       | Gary G. Day Construction Co. v. Clarendon America Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2006)   |   |

| NH | 1st  | Yes       | High Country Associates v. New Hampshire Insurance Corp. (Sup. Ct. 1994)<br>Effectively superseded McAllister v. Peerless Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1984)  |   |
|----|------|-----------|---|---|
| NJ | 3rd  | No*       | Penn National Mutual Ins. Co. v. Parkshore Development Corp. (App.<br>Ct. 2009)<br>Firemans Ins. Co. of Newark v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct.<br>2006)  | <ul> <li>* Cypress Point Condo. Ass'n<br/>v. Adria Towers, LLC (Sup.<br/>Ct. 2016) ruled that the<br/>Exclusion L. subcontractor<br/>exception applied where that<br/>was applicable.</li> <li>Legislation introduced in<br/>2014 to define "occurrence"<br/>to include faulty<br/>workmanship</li> </ul> |
| NM | 10th | Yes       | O'Rourke v. New Amsterdam Cas. Co. (App. Ct. 1961)  |   |
| NY | 2nd  | No<br>Yes | National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburg v. Turner Construction Co.<br>(App. Ct. 2014)<br>Baker Residential Limited Partnership v. Travelers Ins. Co. (App. Ct.<br>2004)<br>George A. Fuller Co. v. U.S. Fid. & Guar. Co. (App. Ct. 1994)<br>C.O. Falter, Inc. v. Crum & Forster Ins. Cos. (Sup. Ct. 1974) |   |
| NC | 4th  | No        | Holz-Her U.S., Inc. v. United States Fidelity and Guaranty Co. (App. Ct. 2000)  |   |
| ND | 8th  | Yes       | K&L Homes, Inc. v. American Family Mut. Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2013)<br>Fisher v. Am. Family Mutual Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 1998)<br>Acuity v. Burd & Smith Constr., Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2006)  |   |

| ОН | 6th  | No<br>Yes          | <ul> <li>Westfield Ins. Co. v. Custom Agri. Sys., Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2012)</li> <li>Heile v. Herrmann (App. Ct. 1999)</li> <li>Ferro Corp. v. Blaw Knox Food &amp; Chemical Equipment Co. (App. Ct. 1997)</li> <li>Dublin Bldg. Systems v. Selective Ins. Co. of America (App. Ct. 2007)</li> <li>Erie Insurance Exchange v. Colony Development Corp. (App. Ct. 2003)</li> <li>Indiana Insurance Co. v. Alloyd Insulation Co. (App. Ct. 2002)</li> <li>Acme Steak Co., Inc. v. Great Lakes Mechanical Co. (App. Ct. 2000)</li> </ul> |  |
|----|------|--------------------|--|--|
| ок | 10th | No                 | Dodson v. St. Paul Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1991)  |  |
| OR | 9th  | No                 | Oak Crest Constr. Co. v. Austin Mut. Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2000)  |  |
| ΡΑ | 3rd  | Probably Yes<br>No | <ul> <li>Indalex Inc. v. National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, PA (App. Ct. 2014 – Sup. Ct. denied review)</li> <li>Kvaerner Metal Division of Kvaener U.S. Inc., et al. vs. Commercial Union Ins. Co., et. al. (Sup. Ct. 2006)</li> <li>Redevelopment Authority of Cambria County v. International Insurance Co. (App. Ct. 1996)</li> <li>Solcor Equipment Leasing v. Pennsylvania Manufactures' Association Insurance Co. (Sup. Ct. 1992)</li> </ul>   | In Acuity v. Knisely & Sons,<br>Inc. (W.D. Pa Aug. 9, 2016),<br>the court favored Kvaerner<br>rather than Indalex.                   |
| RI | 1st  | Yes                | Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Consulting Envtl. Eng'rs, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 1989)  |  |
| SC | 4th  | Yes                | Crossman Communities v. Harleysville Mutual Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2011)<br>Auto-Owners v. Newman and Trinity Construction (Sup. Ct. 2008)   | <i>Crossman</i> initially ruled "No"<br>but reversed itself in August<br>2011. In the meantime<br>May 17, 2011 Statute: § <u>38-</u> |

|    |      |     |   | 61-70 requires all CGL<br>policies to incorporate a<br>definition of "occurrence" that<br>includes BI or PD resulting<br>from faulty workmanship,<br><b>exclusive of the faulty</b><br><b>workmanship itself</b> which<br>now may make the law in<br>conflict with <i>Crossman</i> 's<br>reversal to find that faulty<br>workmanship IS an<br>"occurrence." |
|----|------|-----|---|---|
| SD | 8th  | Yes | Corner Construction Co. v. USF&G (Sup. Ct. 2002)  |   |
| TN | 6th  | Yes | <ul> <li>Travelers Indemnity Co. of America v. Moore &amp; Associates, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2007)</li> <li>Supersedes opposing viewpoints in:<br/>Vernon Williams &amp; Son Constr. Inc. v. Continental Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1979) and<br/>State Auto Ins. Cos. v. Gordon Constr., Inc. (App. Ct. 2001)</li> </ul>  |   |
| тх | 5th  | Yes | Lamar Homes, Inc. v. Mid-Continent Cas. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2007)<br>Affirms the following decisions:<br>Gehan Homes v. Employers Mut. Cas. Co. (App. Ct. 2004)<br>Archon Investments, Inc. v. Great American Lloyd's Ins. Co. (App. Ct.<br>2005)<br>Lennar Corp. v. Great American Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2005)<br>Supercedes opposing viewpoint in:<br>Hartrick v. Great American Lloyds Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2001) |   |
| UT | 10th | Yes | Cincinnati Insurance Co. v. AMSCO Windows (10 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014)<br>Great American Ins. Co. v. Woodside Homes (App. Ct. 2006)<br>H.E. Davis & Sons, Inc. v. N. Pac. Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2002)  |   |

| VT       | 2nd                | Yes           | Peerless Ins. Co. v. Wells (Sup. Ct. 1990)  |            |
|----------|--------------------|---------------|---|------------|
| VA       | 4th                | No            | Hotel Roanoke Conf. Ctr. V. Cincinnati Ins. Co. (App. Ct. 2004)   |            |
| WA       | 9th                | Yes           | Overton v. Consolidated Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2002)<br>Yakima Cement Products Co. v. Great Am. Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 1980)  |            |
| wv       | 4th                | Yes<br>No     | <ul> <li>Cherrington v. Erie Ins. Property &amp; Cas. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2013)</li> <li>Webster County Solid Waste Authority v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. (Sup. Ct. 2005)</li> <li>Corder v. William M. Smith Excavating Co. (Sup. Ct. 2001)</li> <li>Erie Ins. Property &amp; Cas. Co. v. Pioneer Home Improvement, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 1999)</li> <li>McGann v. Holders Lumber Co. (Sup Ct. 1965)</li> </ul> |            |
| WI       | 7th                | Yes           | American Family Mutual Ins. Co. v. American Girl, Inc. (Sup. Ct. 2004)<br>Kalchthaler v. Keller Construction Co. (App. Ct. 1999)  |            |
| WY       | 10th               | No            | Great Divide Ins. Co. v. Bitterroot Timberframes of Wyo. (App. Ct. 2006)  |            |
|          |                    | Federal Court | s of Appeal ( <u>http://www.uscourts.gov/images/CircuitMap.pdf</u> )  |            |
| District | States             | Occurrence?   | Court Case Citations  | Commentary |
| 1st      | ME, MA,<br>NH, PR, | No            | American Home Assurance Co. v. AGM Marine Contractors (1st Cir. 2005)   |            |

|     | RI                       |           |   |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------|---|
| 2nd | NY, VT,<br>CT            | Yes<br>No | Scottsdale Ins. Co. v. R.I. Pools, Inc. (2nd Cir. 2013)<br>Broadmoor Anderson v. National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Louisiana (2nd<br>Cir. 2005)<br>Aquatechnics, Inc. v. Hartford Casualty Ins. Co. (2nd Cir. 2012)<br>J.Z.G. Resources, Inc. v. King (2d Cir. 1993)  |
| 3rd | PA, NJ,<br>DE            | No        | <ul> <li>Pa. Nat. Mut. Cas. Ins. Co. v. Parkshore Development Corp. (3d Cir. 2010)</li> <li>Specialty Surfaces International, Inc. v. Continental Cas. Co. (3d Cir. 2010)</li> <li>Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. CPB International, Inc. (3d Cir. 2009)</li> <li>ProDent, Inc. v. Zurich U.S. (3d Cir. 2002)</li> <li>Keystone Filler &amp; Manufacturing Co. v. American Mining Insurance Co. (3d Cir. 2002)</li> </ul>  |
| 4th | MD, NC,<br>SC,<br>VA, WV | Yes       | Limbach Co., L.L.C. v. Zurich Am. Ins. Co. (4th Cir. 2005)<br>Mitchell, Best & Visnic, Inc. v. Travelers Property Cas. Corp. (4th Cir.<br>2002)<br>Stonehenge Engineering Corp. v. Employers Insurance of Wausau (4th<br>Cir. 2000)<br>North American Precast, Inc. v. General Casualty Co. of Wisconsin (4 <sup>th</sup><br>Cir. 2011)<br>Travelers Indemnity Co. of America v. Miller Building Corporation (4th<br>Cir. 2005)<br>Travelers Indem. v. Miller Bldg. Corp. (4th Cir. 2004)<br>Hotel Roanoke Conf. Ctr. Comm'n v. Cincinnati Insurance Co. (4th Cir.<br>2004) |

| 5th | LA, TX,<br>MS                       | Yes       | <ul> <li>Federated Mutual Insurance Company v. Grapevine Excavation, Inc. (5th Cir. 1999)</li> <li>Riley Stoker Corp. v. Fidelity &amp; Guar. Ins. Underwriters (5th Cir. 1994)</li> <li>Hartford Cas. Co. v. Cruse (5th Cir. 1991)</li> <li>Jim Johnson Homes, Inc. v. Mid-Continent Casualty Co. (5th Cir. 2003)</li> <li>ACS Construction Co., Inc. of Mississippi v. CGU (5th Cir. 2003)</li> </ul>   |   |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|---|
| 6th | MI, OH,<br>KY, TN                   | No<br>Yes | Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co. v. Kay & Kay Contracting, LLC. (6th Cir.<br>2013)<br>McBride & McBride Const., LLC v. Acuity Mut. Ins. Co. (6th Cir. 2013)<br>Detroit Water Team Joint Venture v. American National Fire Insurance<br>Co. (6th Cir. 2001)<br>Lenning v. Commercial Union Ins. Co. (6th Cir. 2001)  | <i>McBride</i> cites Kentucky law,<br>specifically <i>Cincinnati Ins.</i><br><i>Co. v. Motorists Mutual Ins.</i><br><i>Co.</i> (KY Sup. Ct. 2010) |
| 7th | IL, IN, WI                          | No        | Lyeria v. Amco Ins. Co. (7th Cir. 2008)<br>West American Ins. Co. v. Keno & Sons Construction, Inc. (7th Cir.<br>2000)  |   |
| 8th | AR, IA,<br>ND,<br>MN, MO,<br>NE, SD | No<br>Yes | J-McDaniel Construction Co. Inc. v. Mid-Continent Casualty<br>Company(8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014)<br>Lexicon, Inc. v. Ace American Ins. Co. (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010)<br>Decker Plastics, Inc. v. West Bend Mutual Ins. Co. (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2016)<br>Aten v. Scottsdale Ins. Co. (8th Cir. 2008)<br>Alten superseded opposing viewpoints in:<br>Norwalk Ready Mixed Concrete, Inc. v. Travelers Insurance Co. (8th<br>Cir. 2001)<br>Nabholz Construction Corp. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. (8th Cir.<br>2005) |   |

|      |   |           | <i>St. Paul Fire and Marine Ins. Co. v. Building Construction Enterprises, Inc.</i> (App. Ct. 2007, under appeal)  |  |
|------|---|-----------|--|--|
| 9th  | AK, AZ,<br>CA,<br>HI, ID,<br>MT,<br>NV, OR,<br>WA,<br>Guam,<br>Northern<br>Mariana<br>Islands | No<br>Yes | <ul> <li>Nautilus Ins. Co. v. 3Builders, Inc. (9th Cir. 2013)</li> <li>Mid-Continent Cas. Co. v. Williamsburg Condo. Assn. (9th Cir. 2008, appeal pending)</li> <li>Burlington Ins. Co. v. Oceanic Design &amp; Constr., Inc. (9th Cir. 2004)</li> <li>Anthem DeWitt Construction, Inc. v. Charter Oak Fire Ins. Co. (9th Cir. 2002)</li> </ul>  |  |
| 10th | CO, KS,<br>NM,<br>OK, UT,<br>WY   | Yes       | <ul> <li>Cincinnati Insurance Co. v. AMSCO Windows (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014)</li> <li>Greystone Consts., Inc. v. National Fire &amp; Marine Ins. Co. (10th Cir. 2011)</li> <li>Signature Development Cos., Inc. v. Royal Ins. Co. of Am. (10th Cir. 2000)</li> <li>Cool Sunshine Heating &amp; Air Conditioning, Inc. v. American Family Mutual Insurance Company, (10th Cir. 2014).</li> <li>Davis &amp; Sons, Inc. v. North Pacific Ins. Co. (10th Cir. 2002)</li> <li>DCB Construction Company v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of IL (10th Cir. 2002)</li> </ul> |  |
| 11th | AL, FL,<br>GA   | Yes<br>No | Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company v. St.<br>Catherine of Siena Parish (11th Cir. 2015)<br>Hathaway Dev. Co., Inc. v. Illinois Union Ins. Co. (11th Cir. 2008,<br>appeal pending)   |  |

Copyright 2006-2017 by the Independent Insurance Agents of America. All rights reserved.

June 18, 2015 March 12, 2015 March 4, 2015 June 7, 2014 May 19, 2014 January 15, 2014 August 31, 2011 March 8, 2011 March 4, 2011 February 18, 2011 January 17, 2011 December 28, 2010 October 3, 2010 September 12, 2010 September 5, 2010 May 27, 2010 April 23, 2010 February 12, 2010 June 20, 2009 April 14, 2009 March 14, 2009 August 1, 2008 May 28, 2008 April 22, 2008 September 4, 2007 May 10, 2007 March 12, 2007 November 28, 2006 September 23, 2006